# Dec. 2013

#### VOL. XLXV NO. 11

In This Issue	þage
PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE	1
ARTICLES	. 2,4-5
CENTENNIAL SPONSORS	6-7
ARTICLES	8-9
PROFESSIONAL DIRECTORY	10-11





# Los Angeles Section

Monthly: Est. 1913

ORANGE / SAN\_BERNARDINO/RIVERSIDE / SAN\_LUIS\_OBISPO / SANTA\_BARBARA/VENTURA / DESERT / SOUTHERN SAN\_JOAQUIN / METROPOLITAN LOS ANGELES

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

Yazdan Emrani, P.E. Los Angeles Section President



As we approach the holiday season, it is my hope that Congress can set aside its ideological differences when it comes to the issue of infrastructure investment. Having gone through a turbulent few months that saw partisan bickering and even shutdown of the government, may make us a bit cynical about such prospects. However, stranger things have happened and I am here to tell you that there may be some good news coming from Washington, D.C.

As we all know, America's transportation infrastructure has been deteriorating for decades. In its March 2013 Report Card for America's Infrastructure, ASCE's national report card on infrastructure assigned bridges a grade of C+; roads, D; and

transit, D. According to a Texas A&M study released earlier this year, traffic congestion costs motorists \$121 billion annually in wasted time and fuel, not including its negative impact on air quality and human health. ASCE estimates that American infrastructure needs \$3.6 trillion in investment by 2020. But, what if there was a way to modernize, strengthen and expand the funding that makes our country work, such as roads, bridges, hospitals, aqueducts and courthouses. There may be such a way.

Recently an innovative proposal in Congress may help bridge the gap by introducing domestic infrastructure investment to an unlikely source; U.S. corporations with sizable overseas earnings. Both infrastructure policy and corporate tax policy have hurt America's global competitiveness, and the bill would apply a solution to both.

Partnership to Build America Act would be funded by the sale of \$50 billion worth of Infrastructure Bonds which would have a 50 year term, pay a fixed interest rate of 1%, and would not be guaranteed by the U.S. government. The legislation creates the American Infrastructure Fund (AIF). Congressman John Delaney, the H.R. 2084's author, explains it this way:

- U.S. corporations would be incentivized to purchase these new Infrastructure Bonds by allowing them to repatriate a certain amount of their overseas earnings tax free for every \$1.00 they invest in the bonds.
- This multiplier will be set using an innovative auction approach, likely averaging out to one to four and leaving corporations with an effective tax rate of about 8 percent. Leveraging the \$50 billion in bonds at a 15:1 ratio, the AIF could theoretically provide \$750 billion in loans and guarantees. It won't close the gap entirely, but it makes a dent in the gap.

Now, repatriation financing has not been real popular in Congress, but Delaney's proposal does have bipartisan support.

# What will the Partnership to Build America Act do?

- Finance the rebuilding of our country's transportation, energy, communications, water, and education infrastructure through the creation of an infrastructure fund using repatriated corporate earnings as well as through utilizing public-private partnerships.
- Create the AIF which would provide loans or guarantees to state or local governments to finance qualified infrastructure projects. The states or local governments would be required to pay back the loan at a market rate determined by the AIF to ensure they have "skin in the game." In addition, the AIF would invest in equity securities for projects in

# **State Investments and Legislative Update**



by Fareed Pittalwala, P.E.



The first year of the 2013-2014 legislative session is over. As the Sacramento BEE pointed out "for all his [Governor Brown's] complaints about the deluge of legislation, by the time Brown finished acting on this year's legislation, he had accommodated the Democratic-controlled Legislature on all but about 11 percent of the bills it sent him. The final count for the year, according to the governor's office: 800 regular session bills signed, 96 rejected. Over the course of his career, the third-term

governor has now signed more than 13,500 regular session bills."

Some interesting facts about recent history and Governors' vetoes.

- Governor Brown vetoed his lowest percentage of bills (11%) since returning to office in 2010.
- Governor Brown's veto rate (12.34%) during his current term (2010-2013) is higher than his veto rate during his first two terms (4.4%).
- Governor Brown considered 896 bills in 2013, compared to 996 in 2012 and 870 in 2011.
- In his first three years in office (1975-77), Governor Brown considered 4,318 bills; during the past three years (2011-13), he has considered 2,762 bills.
- Governors Deukmejian and Schwarzenegger still hold the record for the most bills vetoed in a year, 436 (1990) and 414 (2008), respectively. Schwarzenegger vetoed one of three bills sent to him in 1998 (35.17%).
- In 1982, Governor Brown vetoed just 30 bills of the 1,674 he considered, setting the record for the lowest number of vetoes and the lowest veto rate (1.79%).
- Governor Pat Brown acted on about 7,500 bills during his two terms as Governor (1958-66). He vetoed (including "pocket vetoes") 512 bills, or about 6%.
- During the last five years, legislators have passed fewer bills than in any other five-year period since 1967.
- Governor Deukmejian vetoed the most bills (2,298 over eight years). However, with 1,970 vetoes over his seven years in office, Governor Schwarzenegger's annual average (281) is close to Governor Deukmejian's annual average of 287 vetoes per year.
- Governor Schwarzenegger vetoed three times as many bills in seven years (1,970) as Brown did in his first eight years (528) and twice as many as Governor Reagan in eight years (843).
- During his 11 years in office, Governor Brown has signed 13,299 bills.

#### **Final Action on ASCE Bills**

#### **Business Issues**

**AB 116** (Bocanegra D) Land use: subdivision maps: expiration dates. (Support) Extends the expiration date of any tentative map, vesting tentative map, or parcel map for which a tentative map or vesting tentative map, as the case may be, has been approved, by 24 months. CHAPTERED 7/11/2013

# Design/Build

**AB 401** (Daly D) Transportation: design-build: highways (Support) Would authorize the Department of Transportation to utilize design-build procurement for up to 10 projects on the state highway system, based on either best value or lowest responsible bid. The bill would authorize regional transportation agencies, as defined, to utilize design-build procurement for projects on or adjacent to the state highway system. The bill would also authorize those regional transportation agencies to utilize design-build procurement for projects on expressways that are not on the state highway system, as specified. CHAPTERED 10/5/2013

# **Infrastructure Financing Districts**

**AB 229** (John A. Pérez D) Local government: infrastructure and revitalization financing districts. (Support) Would authorize the creation by a city, county, city and county, or joint powers authority of an infrastructure and revitalization financing district, as defined, and the issuance of debt with 2/3 voter approval. The bill would authorize the creation of a district for up to 40 years and the issuance of debt with a final maturity date of up to 30 years, as specified. The bill would authorize a district to finance projects in redevelopment project areas and former redevelopment project areas and former military bases. Two-year bill.

AB 243 (Dickinson D) Local government: infrastructure and revitalization financing districts. (Support) Would authorize the creation of an infrastructure and revitalization financing district, as defined, and the issuance of debt with 55% voter approval. The bill would authorize the creation of a district for up to 40 years and the issuance of debt with a final maturity date of up to 30 years, as specified. The bill would authorize a district to finance projects in redevelopment project areas and former redevelopment project areas and former military bases. The bill would authorize the legislative body of a city, as defined, to dedicate any portion of its funds received from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund to the district, if specified criteria are met. Two-year bill.

#### Infrastructure

**AB 716** (Quirk-Silva D) Infrastructure: state planning and funding. (Support) Adds housing to the list of assets considered to be "infrastructure" for purposes of the state's 5-year infrastructure plan and requires the Strategic Growth Council to review and comment on the plan. Two-year bill.

**SCA 4** (Liu D) Local government transportation projects: special taxes: voter approval. (Support) This measure would lower the vote requirement for the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by a local government for the purpose of providing funding for local transportation projects from 2/3 of the voters to 55% of its voters voting on the proposition. Two-year bill.

**SCA 8** (Corbett D) Transportation projects: special taxes: voter approval. (Support) This measure would lower the vote threshold for the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by a local government for the purpose of providing funding for transportation projects from the current 2/3 of the voters to 55% of its voters voting on the proposition. Two-year bill.

partnership with states or local governments.

• At least 25 percent of the projects financed through the AIF must be Public-Private Partnerships for which at least 20 percent of a project's financing comes from private capital using a public-private partnership model.

What are the benefits of the Partnership to Build America Act?

- Creates a large-scale infrastructure financing capability with zero federal appropriations.
- Creates significant jobs in the short-term and helps U.S. competitiveness in the long-term.
- Allows for repatriation while ensuring U.S. corporations' tax savings are truly invested in the U.S. economy to grow quality jobs.
- Pushes the project selection decisions down to state and local governments who have to have "skin in the game."
- Encourages and creates a framework for growth in public-private partnerships.

Of course many questions and concerns remain. Perhaps the largest is funding. Loans issued by the AIF would need to be repaid by state and local governments, and it is unclear where that money would come from, even in a rebounding economy. While the bill's emphasis on public-private partnerships is meaningful, it is unlikely to address a core roadblock to their adoption; the general public's suspicion of user fees. Many see user fees as another tax. There may need to be viable policy solutions to the problem of political acceptance have yet to be proposed. This may not be a perfect bill, but in an era of increasing and paralyzing partisanship, is a start.

### What about our water infrastructure?

There is some good news on this front, as well. The House of Representatives recently passed a bill (H.R. 3080) to repair and update the nation's water infrastructure. The bill dedicates \$8.3 billion to water projects, which is unfortunately about \$4 billion less than the Senate's version of the bill. It used to be that congress passed a water infrastructure bill about every two years until 2007, funding repairs and updates for various dams, ports, and waterways across the country.

Congress has shifted the responsibility to recommend projects, to the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). The USACE identified 23 projects to improve shipping channels, flood prevention, and environmental restoration. Many of the newly approved projects will bolster protections against floods and storms like last year's devastating Superstorm Sandy. Still, even these billions of dollars won't come close to meeting our actual infrastructure needs. The Environmental Protection Agency estimates that water infrastructure spending between 2000 and 2019 will fall short by \$263 billion and will be worsened by growing water crises like the shortages already plaguing western states.

These are at least some steps in the right direction, but as we see, federal funding in infrastructure is decreasing.

# Meanwhile in Europe...

While we are struggling to find a viable answer to infrastructure investment here at home, other countries and regions are moving ahead on this issue, at lightning speed. The new European Union (EU) infrastructure policy establishes a core transport network built on nine major corridors: two North–South corridors, three East–West corridors; and four diagonal corridors, for the first time. The core network will transform East–West connections, remove bottlenecks,

upgrade infrastructure and streamline cross-border transport operations for passengers and businesses throughout the EU. It will improve connections between different modes of transport and contribute to the EU's climate change objectives. The core network is to be completed by 2030.

According to the European Union, financing for transport infrastructure will triple for the period 2014–2020 to 26 billion Euros. This EU funding will be tightly focused on the core transport network where there is most EU added value. The new core transport network will be supported by a comprehensive network of routes, feeding into the core network at regional and national level. The comprehensive network will ensure full coverage of the EU and accessibility of all regions. The aim is to ensure that progressively, and by 2050, the great majority of Europe's citizens and businesses will be no more than 30 minutes travel time from this comprehensive network.

The core network will connect:

- 94 main European ports with rail and road links
- 38 key airports with rail connections into major cities
- 15,000 km of railway line upgraded to high speed
- 35 cross-border projects to reduce bottlenecks

This will be the economic lifeblood of the single market, allowing a real free flow of goods and people around the EU, according to the European Commission.

#### What about China?

According to Reuters, China's cabinet has detailed plans to speed construction of urban infrastructure projects, in the latest move in Beijing's plan to boost domestic demand by swelling the ranks of city dwellers. China has an ambitious plan to increase the numbers of urban residents as it seeks to restructure its economy away from credit and export growth to one where consumers provide the main impetus.

The government will focus on projects ranging from underground sewage and household waste treatment to gas pipes and heating systems as well as public transport and power grid upgrades, to spur high quality urbanization, the cabinet said on its website. "We will quicken building steps on projects under construction, actively push forward new projects and make preparations for follow-up projects," the cabinet said.

The cost of settling China's rural workers in cities could be about 650 billion yuan (\$106.23 billion) annually, a government think-tank said in July. That figure is equivalent to about 5.5 percent of fiscal revenue last year.

As part of its plans, China will finish building 73,000 km of sewage pipelines and will raise the volume of sewage treated in cities to 85 percent by 2015, besides completing 80,000 km of gas pipe networks.

Back in our country, hopefully in the coming year, Congress will be able to put aside its differences and pass the aforementioned infrastructure bills. The lifeblood of any economy is its ability to move goods and services quickly and effectively throughout its domain. Here is hoping we take a page from the global initiative on infrastructure to improve ours at home.

With that, I would like to wish each and every one of our LA Section members a safe and enjoyable holiday season.

ARTICLE continued from page 2

#### Licensure

**SB 152** (Roth D) Licensed professionals: engineers: geologists: geophysicists. (Support) Current law generally regulates the practice of persons engaged in the practice of engineering. The act provides for a temporary authorization to practice engineering, as specified. This bill would delete the existing provisions in the Professional Engineers Act allowing for a temporary authorization to practice as an engineer and would make additional conforming changes. This bill also requires geologists and geophysicists to use written contracts with specified exceptions. CHAPTERED 8/27/2013

#### **Peer Review**

**SB 425** (DeSaulnier D) Public works: the Public Works Peer Review Act of 2013. (Support) Would allow a public agency, principally tasked with administering, planning, developing, and operating a public works project, to establish a specified peer review group, as defined, and would require the administering agency, if a peer review group is established, to draft a charter, published on the agency's Internet Web site, related to the duties of the peer review group. CHAPTERED 9/6/2013

# **Transportation**

**AB 14** (Lowenthal D) State freight plan. (Support) Would require the Transportation Agency to prepare a state freight plan with specified elements to govern the immediate and long-range planning activities and capital investments of the state with respect to the movement of freight. The bill would require the agency to establish a freight advisory committee with various responsibilities in that regard. The initial state freight plan would be submitted to the Legislature, the Governor, and certain state agencies by December 31, 2014, and updated every 5 years thereafter. CHAPTERED 9/6/2013

# Water

**AB 145** (Perea D) State Water Resources Control Board: drinking water. (Oppose unless amended) Would transfer to the State Water Resources Control Board the various duties and responsibilities imposed on the department by the California Safe Drinking Water Act. The bill would require these provisions to be implemented during the 2014-15 fiscal year. Two-year bill.

**AB 803** (Gomez D) Water Recycling Act of 2013. (Support) Current law requires any person who, without regard to intent or negligence, causes or permits any sewage or other waste, or the effluent of treated sewage or other waste, to be discharged in or on any waters of the state, or where it probably will be discharged in or on any waters of the state, to immediately notify the local health officer of the director of environmental health of the discharge, as prescribed. This bill, the Water Recycling Act of 2013, would provide that this notification requirement does not apply to an unauthorized discharge of effluent of treated sewage defined as recycled water, as defined. CHAPTERED 10/8/2013

**AB 850** (Nazarian D) Public capital facilities: water quality. (Support) Would authorize specified joint powers authorities, upon the application of a local agency that owns and operates a publicly owned utility, as defined, to issue rate reduction bonds to finance a utility project, as defined, under specified circumstances. The bill would terminate the authority to issue rate reduction bonds pursuant to these provisions after December 31, 2020. The bill would provide that the rate

reduction bonds are secured by utility project property, as defined. The bill would authorize the authority to impose on, and collect from, customers of the publicly owned utility a utility project charge, as a separate nonbypassable charge, to finance the rate reduction bond. CHAPTERED 10/8/2013

# **Appointments**

Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. made the following Water Board appointments. These appointments require Senate confirmation.

**Gregory Giusti** of Kelseyville has been appointed to the **North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board**. Giusti has been an advisor and director of forest and wildlands ecology at the University of California Cooperative Extension since 1985. He was an agricultural biologist at the San Mateo County Department of Agriculture from 1981 to 1985 and chief biologist at the Marine Ecological Institute from 1979 to 1981. He earned a Master of Arts degree in ecology and population biology from California State University, San Francisco.

**Eric Sandel** of Truckee has been reappointed to the **Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board**, where he has served since 1993. Sandel has held multiple positions at S.A. Engineering since 1978, including principal and engineer. He is a licensed professional engineer and a member of the Truckee-Tahoe Engineers Association and the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers.

William Von Blasingame of Irvine, has been appointed to the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board. Von Blasingame has been a member of the OneOC Board of Directors since 2011 and of the Orange Children and Parents Together Board of Directors since 2008. He was senior vice president and general manager of Caribbean Operations at Mirant Energy Company from 2005 to 2007 and chief financial officer and president at Edison Mission Energy, Asia Pacific from 1986 to 2005. Von Blasingame earned a Master of Business Administration degree in finance and real estate from the University of California, Berkeley.

**Stefanie Warren** of San Diego, has been reappointed to the **San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board**, where she has served since 2013. Warren has been an attorney at Luce Forward, now McKenna Long and Aldridge LLP since 2006. She was a law clerk for the Honorable Irma E. Gonzalez at the U.S. District Court, Southern District of California from 2005 to 2006. Warren earned a Juris Doctor degree from Emory University School of Law.

**Bruce Delgado** of Marina, has been reappointed to the **Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board**, where he has served since 2011. Delgado has been mayor of the City of Marina since 2008 and a botanist at the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management since 1988. He is co-president of the Chuck Haugen Conservation Fund.

Jeffrey Young of Santa Barbara, has been reappointed to the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, where he has served since 2000. Young has been an attorney in private practice since 1994. He was general counsel at the California Aquaculture Association from 1995 to 2000 and associate attorney at the Law Offices of Raymond J. Pulverman from 1994 to 1997. He was owner and operator of Pacific Seafood Industries from 1983 to 1992. Young earned a Juris Doctor degree from the Santa Barbara College of Law and a Master of Science degree in natural resources from California State University, Humboldt.

ARTICLE continued from page 4

Newsha Ajami of San Francisco has been reappointed to the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, where she has served since 2013. Ajami has been senior research associate at the Pacific Institute since 2011. She was a California Council on Science and Technology policy fellow at the California Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee from 2010 to 2011 and a consultant at Berkeley Economic Consulting Inc. from 2007 to 2010. Ajami was a postdoctoral researcher at the University of California, Berkeley from 2005 to 2009. She earned a Doctor of Philosophy degree in civil and environmental engineering from the University of California, Irvine and a Master of Science degree in hydrology and water resources from the University of Arizona.

James McGrath of Berkeley has been reappointed to the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, where he has served since 2007. He was manager of the Port of Oakland Environmental Department from 1990 to 2005 and a coastal protection analyst at the California Coastal Commission from 1976 to 1990. McGrath was an environmental protection specialist at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency from 1971 to 1976. He is a member of the Bay Conservation and Development Commission. McGrath earned a Master of Science degree in civil engineering from the University of California, Berkeley.

**Karl Longley** of Fresno has been appointed to the **Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board**, where he has served from 1999 to 2013 and served from 1989 to 1997. Longley has been water resources coordinator at the California State University, Fresno California Water Institute since 2005. He has held multiple positions at California State University, Fresno, College of Engineering, since 1982, including professor, dean and dean emeritus. Longley was a partner and consulting engineer at Hanna Longley and Associates from 1983 to 1985 and a civil engineer at Strauss and Roberts Consulting Civil Engineers Inc. from 1981 to 1982. Longley is a member of the American Water Works Association, the Water Environment Federation and the American Society of Civil Engineers. He earned a Doctor of Science degree in environmental health engineering and a Master of Science degree in water resources and sanitary engineering from Johns Hopkins University.

Carmen Ramirez of Merced has been reappointed to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, where she has served since 2011. Ramirez has held multiple positions at the Law Offices of Fagalde Albertoni and Flores LLP since 2008, including attorney and associate attorney. She was a redevelopment associate at the City of Merced Office of Economic Development from 2003 to 2007. Ramirez earned a Juris Doctor degree from the San Joaquin College of Law.

**Ellen Way** of La Quinta has been reappointed to the **Colorado River Basin Regional Water Quality Control Board**, where she has served since 2004. Way has been owner of Sanders Way Ranch since 1990. She was president of California Women for Agriculture from 2000 to 2002 and an agricultural specialist for California State Assemblymember James Battin from 1994 to 2000. Way was a merchandising representative and food service manager at Sun World International from 1990 to 1994. She is a member of the Western Growers Association, the California Farm Bureau Federation and California Women for Agriculture.

Nancy Wright of Whitewater has been reappointed to the Colorado River Basin Regional Water Quality Control Board, where she has served since 2012 and served from 2000 to 2007. Wright has been vice president at Pete Wright General Contractor Inc. since 1997 and co-owner of the Wright Window Company since 1994. She was co-owner of Peter A. Wright General Contractor from 1977 to 1997. Wright is vice chair of the Riverside County Local Agency Formation Commission and vice president of the Mission Springs Water District Board of Directors.

Charles Stringer of Los Angeles has been appointed to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, where he has served since 2010. Stringer has been principal and general counsel at the Renewable Resources Group since 2006. He founded and was owner of the Law Offices of C. M. Stringer from 2001 to 2006 and was senior legal and policy advisor at the Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission from 1999 to 2001. Stringer was senior assistant tribal attorney for the White Mountain Apache Tribe from 1996 to 1998 and assistant regional counsel at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 from 1992 to 1996. He was an associate at Short Cressman and Burgess from 1989 to 1992. Stringer earned a Master of Public Administration degree from the Harvard University Kennedy School of Government and a Juris Doctor degree from the University of Minnesota, School of Law.

Lawrence Yee of Ojai has been reappointed to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, where he has served since 2012. Yee has been president and coordinating director of the National Food Commons since 2010. He was a national program leader for food marketing systems innovations at the U.S. Department of Agriculture from 2003 to 2004 and held multiple positions at the University of California Cooperative Extension from 1975 to 2008, including director of the University of California Cooperative Extension in Ventura County and director of the University of California Hansen Trust. Yee is a member of the Los Angeles Food Policy Council. He earned a Master of Business Administration degree in agribusiness from Santa Clara University.

#### **Recent Reports**

# <u>Groundwater Workplan Concept Paper:</u> Discussion Draft. California Water Boards.

The Water Boards are developing a work plan that will align their current groundwater protection activities with those of other entities. The proposed framework is organized around five factors: thresholds for water level drawdown, monitoring and assessment of both water level and quality, governance structures capable of preventing negative impacts before they occur, funding for required monitoring and governance, and enforcement activities where protection of groundwater.





# **ASCE, Los Angeles Section Centennial Celebration**

# **Supporting Agencies**





# Metro

















The Los Angeles Section of ASCE would like to thank the following donors\* for their generous contributions to the Centennial Fund:

# **Corporate Sponsors**

**Gold Level - \$2,000** 



Andrew Machen, P.E.









# **Centennial Sponsors**

# Silver Level - \$1,000















# SCS ENGINEERS











Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.







# **Bronze Level - \$500**

LaBelle Marvin

Albert A. Webb Associates
Joe Buley, P.E., F.ASCE
Black & Veatch
CDM Smith
Carollo Engineers
Degenkolb Engineers
Diaz-Yourman & Associates

Brown and Caldwell Converse Consultants Gannett Fleming Companies GEI Consultants Langan Engineering & Environmental Svcs.

Morley Builders
PACE
Project Partners
PSOMAS

RBF Consulting
Southern California Gas Company
Trussell Technologies, Inc.
VA Consulting, Inc.
VCA Engineers, Inc.
Wildermuth Environmental, Inc.

# Donor Level - \$250

GRL Engineers, Inc. CALIFORNIA office
Hilti
Hushmand Associates, Inc.
John A. Martin & Associates. Inc.

PENCO Tatsumi and Partners, Inc. Weston Solutions

# **Individual Sponsors**

Charles Adams
Shahn Ahmad
Charles Aldrich
Robert Bein
Carl Blum
Norm Buehring
Joe Buley
Marlon & Lizbeth Calderon
Terry Dooley
Albert Dorman
Tapas Dutta
Gary Dysart

Yaz Emrani Cris & Benel Liban Gregg Fiegel Andy Machen Harvey Gobas Thomas Maddock Serge Haddad Richard Markuson Richard Haller Steve Marvin Greg Heiertz John Morris Jay Higgins Neil Morrison John Hogan Julia Move George Horowitz James Muenzer Thomas Jenkins Carl Nelson Larry Lewis Josh Nelson David Levinsohn Mark Norton

Warren Repke
James Rowlands
Camilla Saviz
Don & Karen Sepulveda
Irv Sherman
Kathereen Shinkai
Nick Sprague
Robert Stearns
Donald Strand
Paul Taylor
Mike Thornton
Jim Van Beveren

To donate, please visit www.ascelasection.org

# **ASCE Region 9 Membership and Improving Student Transition**

by Gregg Fiegel, PhD, PE, GE, M.ASCE, ASCE Region 9 At-Large Governor



As an introduction, my name is Gregg Fiegel, and I am a Professor at California Polytechnic State University (Cal Poly), San Luis Obispo. December begins my third month as an At-Large Governor for ASCE Region 9. I am honored to have the opportunity to serve you in this role and am looking forward to meeting you over the next three years. One of my responsibilities as a Governor is to assist the Region with membership recruitment and retention. Given my experience as an

ASCE Faculty Advisor, I am particularly interested in student transition (where an individual advances from Student Member to Associate Member within the Society). I am eager to work with the Region on this issue.

Many of you know that student membership in the Society is free. Many of you also know that ASCE provides Associate Members with a "sliding" dues scale. Over a five year membership period immediately after graduation, an individual's dues transition from \$50 for the first year to \$225 for the fifth year. The dues reduction represents a nice gesture by ASCE to our new graduates, many of whom have unique financial concerns. We should regularly remind students of this policy.

Based on my experience working with students and chapters around the country, I estimate our ASCE student groups recruit less than half those students available in civil engineering degree programs. Of those students recruited to ASCE, not all become national members (even though membership is free) and far less than half transition to Associate Member status after graduation. Evidence shows there's quite a bit room for improvement! In speaking with alumni who are not ASCE members, dues are sometimes mentioned as a reason for discontinued membership. However, these young professionals more often cite a lack of free time, geographic obstacles or constraints, and/or competing professional organizations as reasons why they drift from ASCE. In addition, former students of mine sometimes comment that ASCE membership provides less value after the transition. Hearing this from former student officers and project leaders is especially discouraging, given all they have put in and gained while serving their ASCE student chapter. We can do better engaging and connecting with our students.

Student transition has received considerable attention from ASCE over the past several years. In September of 2010, a Task Committee on the subject presented a strategy sketch to the Board of Directors. The strategic issue addressed by the committee was as follows:

The challenges associated with transitioning ASCE Student Members to Associate Members, attracting young engineers to join ASCE, and retaining young engineers as members all play a central role in the Society realizing its future membership, leadership, and revenue potential.

In addressing this issue, the Task Committee presented a series of desired outcomes, a proposed timeline for achieving these outcomes, a list of potential actions, and a series of guiding principles. I have a copy of the Committee's report and am happy to share it with those interested (e-mail me a request at gfiegel@calpoly.edu). Since the Task Committee issued its report, different groups within ASCE have begun to pilot and implement various initiatives, and as a result, recommended best-practices are becoming more and more available. The Member Communities Committee (MCC) currently oversees a task committee focused on student transition efforts.

Over the next several years I hope to work closely with different groups within Region 9 to design and implement initiatives to improve student transition. In the near term, based on my experience working with students and younger members, I believe there are things we can be doing to advance this cause.

- > Track and keep in contact with alumni: Student chapters, if they aren't already doing so, should elect an alumni relations officer and begin tracking ASCE graduates. A simple first step in this regard would be to collect permanent e-mails and cell phone numbers from seniors during an end-of-the-year event or graduation ceremony. This information could be shared with the local YMG. Leaders of the student chapter and local YMG could brainstorm ideas for maintaining regular contact with former students and encouraging their continued participation in ASCE activities.
- ➤ Engage practitioner advisors: Every student chapter should be assigned two active and enthusiastic Practitioner Advisors (PAs). Sections are responsible for appointing PAs, who provide an essential link between academics and practice. Sections should regularly review PA assignments, strongly encourage PA engagement with students, and recognize PA achievements. PAs should routinely articulate the benefits of ASCE membership to students. Ideally, one of a student chapter's PAs should be a younger member active in the local YMG.
- ➤ Bring practitioners to the students: The opposite approach is typically undertaken in practice, right? Students are invited to attend YMG, Branch, and Section events. Yet, student attendance is often limited for various reasons (scheduling conflicts, lack of transportation, etc.). Occasionally bringing practitioners to campus represents an opportunity to engage a larger number of students. Resume workshops, mock interviews, and panel discussions all represent campus activities where practitioners have successfully reached out to large numbers of students. Are there other examples you can share?
- > Provide alternatives to the standard speaker-luncheon/dinner: Sections and Branches should consider other activities, in addition to the standard speaker-luncheon. Student chapters regularly sponsor interesting field trips, social activities, community service projects, and athletic events (spectating and participating). Many young professionals are hoping for similar opportunities after graduation. We should not let them down. In San Luis Obispo and

ARTICLE continued from page 8

other areas, I have witnessed Branch-sponsored community service and outreach projects attended by older professionals, younger members, and students. These experiences are often engaging and very rewarding for all in attendance.

- > Identify and mentor our future leaders: When meeting with students we should always be on the lookout for future ASCE "stars". When these students are identified, we should commit to mentoring them through the transition to Associate Membership. Engaging future ASCE leaders early will pay dividends later. Several YMGs, Branches, and Sections within Region 9 currently recognize outstanding graduating seniors with awards. What do students receive in return for these awards? A plaque or certificate? How about rewarding these potential ASCE leaders by paying their first year of Associate Member dues?
- > Recruit membership chairs: More people brainstorming membership issues will bring more good ideas. Recently, the San Diego and Los Angeles Sections successfully recruited membership officers. We should create a community of membership advocates addressing student transition, recruitment, and retention issues. We should have membership advocates in each of the student chapters, YMGs, Branches, and Sections, and these individuals should communicate with one another regularly.
- > Encourage collaboration between students and younger members: This final recommendation is probably the most

important. Our younger members and YMGs should be leading Region 9 in our student transition efforts. A stated goal of Region 9 is to facilitate collaborative activities between groups within the region. In support of this goal, we should be doing everything we can to connect student chapters and YMGs. How should we do this? Please consider sharing your ideas.

I became a member of ASCE about halfway through my undergraduate civil engineering degree program at Cal Poly, and I've been a member ever since. Twenty six years and counting! Transitioning to Associate Membership was an easy decision for me. My goal is to make this decision easy for all of our students. I look forward to working with you on membership initiatives and activities during the next several years.

If you have any questions, comments, or suggestions regarding membership or student transition, or if you're interested in assuming a membership advocacy role within your ASCE group, please contact Gregg Fiegel at gfiegel@calpoly.edu.



ARTICLE

# **Bridge Project Engineer at NCM Named New Face of Civil Engineering**

(FIRST OF AN OCCASIONAL SERIES)



Kimberly Gee, bridge project engineer at NCM Engineering Corporation, in Rancho Santa Margarita, Calif., was recently named as one of 10 New Faces of Civil Engineering by the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). The New Faces recognition program promotes the achievement of young civil engineers by highlighting their contributions to and impact on society. Gee will be recognized for this honor at ASCE's annual

Outstanding Projects and Leaders (OPAL) Gala on March 20, 2014, in Arlington, VA.

At NCM, Gee is involved in several bridge projects including major freeway interchanges, roadway bridges, railroad grade separations, and water crossings. She has proven herself to be a valuable team member through her thorough understanding of structure analysis and design principles, project management, project scheduling, budget monitoring, roadway design, utility coordination, environment processes, and her unparalleled ability to procure funding.

Previously, Gee was with a leading civil engineering firm as a project engineer, managing multitude of bridge projects responsible for scheduling, budgeting and funding procurement. In this position, she successfully helped local agencies secure millions of dollars in

federal funds through the Highway Bridge Program (HBP) to replace dilapidated bridges and protecting public safety.

"Civil engineers provide a service to the community," said Gee of why she chose the profession. "I am inspired by the direct correlation between the jobs that civil engineers do and the effects on the communities they serve."

In the vein of service, Gee is also active as a volunteer in her community as a Sunday school teacher and in the ASCE Young Members Forum. She has also participated in a mission trip to Amman, Jordan.

Gee holds a bachelor's degree in civil engineering from the University of California—Irvine. She recently earned her master's degree from the University of California—Irvine with a specialization in structures while working full-time.

ASCE names New Faces of Civil Engineering each year, some of whom will be submitted to the national New Faces of Engineering program run by DiscoverE, formerly the National Engineers Week Foundation. This program includes representatives from civil, mechanical, chemical, industrial and manufacturing engineering professions. Selected New Faces profiles will be featured in February 2014 in a USA TODAY ad during Engineers Week and profiled on the DiscoverE website.



Kris Khilnani, PE, GE - President Suji Somasundaram, PE, GE - Principal Engineer Grant Miller, PG, CEG - Principal Geologist

9307 Research Drive Irvine, CA 92618

# ADVANCED ENGINEERING SOFTWARE

Software written & supported by the AUTHORS OF THE COUNTY MANUALS

advancedengineeringsoftware.com SD: (760) 510-5940, OC: (657) 229-0090

# CREATE. ENHANCE. SUSTAIN.

**A**ECOM

With offices throughout Southern California, we deliver expert solutions for our clients. 515 S. Flower Street, 4th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071 P + 1.213.330.7200



Colton, CA 92324 (909) 783-0101 www.aei-casc.com

# AKEL

Infrastructure Modeling and Master Planning

# Tony Akel, P.E.

Phone: 559.436.0600 Fax: 559.436.0622 Cell: 559.593.5937 Email: takel@akeleng.con

7433 N. First St. Suite 103 Fresno, California 93720

www.akeleng.com



- Geotechnical Engineering
- Construction Materials Inspection/Testing
   Environmental Science and Engineering
- Earthquake-Related Services
- Air Toxics and Health Risk Assessment

lames I Weaver po Tel (323) 889-5300 Fax (323) 721-6700 6001 Rickenbacker Rd. Commerce, Californi USA 90040-3031

Cannon J. Eric Porkert, PE Public Infrastructure; Land Development; Education; Energy; Survey & Mapping; Aerospace/Defense 310.664.1166



#### Earth Mechanics, Inc.

Geotechnical and Earthquake Engineering

Foundation Design Seismic Evaluation Soil-Structure Interaction Field Investigation and Laboratory Testing Grading and Foundation Inspections

 www.earthmech.com

 Fountain Valley
 Los Angeles
 San Bernardino
 Oakland
 San Diego

 (714) 751-3826
 (310) 519-1197
 (909) 890-1551
 (510) 562-8833
 (760) 736-8222



#### **EARTH SYSTEMS**

Geotechnical Engineering Engineering Geology Environmental Consulting Materials Testing & Inspections

Offices throughout California Los Angeles Area Office (818) 901-8075 www.earthsystems.com



15375 Barranca Parkway, Building L Irvine, California 92618

Tel: 949.753.8766 Fax: 949.753.8887 joekul@genterra.com www.genterra.com

CIVIL ENGINEERING • GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING • DAMS AND LEVEES OFFICES IN NO. & SO. CALIFORNIA, COLORADO AND PENNSYLVANIA SERVICES PROVIDED NATIONWIDE



- Geotechnical Engineering Geo-Earthquake Engineering
- Seismic Hazards Evaluation Soil Dynamics/Vibrations
- \* Engineering Geology \* Hydrogeology Seismic Geology \* Forensic Studi
- 525 N Cabrillo Park Drive, Suite 280

Geotechnical Stabilization Inc.

Helical Piers

Compaction Grouting

Santa Ana, California 92701 Phone: (714) 796-9100; FAX: (714) 796-9191 Web Site: www.geopentech.com

Amer R. Al-Alusi, P.E.

alusi@gsigrout.com



James E. Harris, G.E. Byron Konstantinidis, G.E. Paul R. Schade, G.E.

5736 Corporate Ave. Cypress, California 90630 (714)220-2211 Fax (714)220-2122 www.gpi-ca.com



Phone: (562) 427-6899 Fax: (562) 427-3314 Email: info@greagdrilling.com Web Site: www.greggdrilling.com

# GREGG DRILLING & TESTING, INC.

- ENVIRONMENTAL and GEOTECHNICAL DRILLING
- WELL INSTALLATION

 $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{R}$ 

CONE PENETRATION TESTING

2726 WALNUT AVE. · SIGNAL HILL, CA 90755



# GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING MATERIALS TESTING & INSPECTION

rving Southern California since 1986

Michael Reader, G.E. Principal Engineer Tom Swantko, G.E. Principal Engineer

Group Delta Consultants, Inc

os Angeles County : (310) 320-5100 Orange County : (949) 609-1020 San Diego County : (858) 524-1500

PressGrout Piles 1566 Sterling Court = Escondido, CA 92029-1208 Phone (760) 489-6696 = Fax (760) 489-6697

Chemical Grouting



www.hfinc.com

Offices throughout Southern California including Tustin, Santa Clarita, Temecula & Victorville



# ONE COMPANY | Many Solution SERVICES:

801 So. Grand Avenue, Suite 500, Los Angeles, CA 90017 213 239 5800 www.hdrinc.com



# KRIEGER

INCORPORATED • WATER

ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS

• WASTEWATER • RECYCLED WATER STORM WATER

Riverside, CA • (951) 684-6 www.kriegerandstewart.co



#### **KEHOE TESTING & ENGINEERING**

- Cone Penetration Testing (CPT)
- Direct Push Soil, Water & Vapor Sampling · Truck Mounted, Track & Ltd. Access Rigs

Soil Testing & Sampling Services for Geotechnical & Environmental Consultants

5415 Industrial Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92649-1518 (714) 901-7270 (714) 901-7289 fax

STEVE KEHOE, P.E.



2700 S. Grand Ave. Santa Ana, CA 92705 Ph (714) 546-3468 Fax (714) 546-5841

marvin@labellemarvin.com

Land Development Solutions



www.labellemarvin.com



Leighton Consulting, Inc.

s C. Benson Jr. PE. GE. REAT President and CEO.

866-LEIGHTON ical | Environmental | Testing and Ins



# Noise & Air Studies

All Your Noise and Air Assessment Needs Since 1981

Studies for EIRs and EISs Caltrans/FHWA Assessments Noise Ordinance Compliance Air Toxics/Health Risk Assessments





Santa Barbara Corporate Office (805) 963-9532 Camarillo Office (805) 981-0706 Santa Maria Office (805) 925-2345

Lancaster Office (661) 949-6676

· Civil Engineering

- · Surveying & Mapping Land Use Planning
  - Construction Management • Traffic Engineering
  - Transportation Planning · Financing District Services



Office: 714-751-5557

LONG BEACH

Experience

ve Marji Knitter, President – mknitter@moote.com Mike McGovern, PE, FASCE – mmcgovern@moo Paul A. Moote & Associates, Inc www.moote.com

925 Harbor Plaza Long Beach, CA 90802 562-283-7000

CFD Administration

Electric/Telephone Applicant Designs Expert Witness/Litigation Support SBE, DBE, WBE Certified

www.POLB.com



Ted Mivake 17991 Fitch, Irvine, CA 92614

Phone (949) 442-2442 Fax (949) 476-8322 E-Mail: hninyo@nmggeotechnical.com www.nmggeotechnical.com



www.Psomas.com

California | Arizona | Utah PSOMAS

Water & Wastewater Infrastructure

Program/Construction Management

Site Development Services

Environmental Consulting

Surveying and Mapping

Renewable Energy















Richard Hart, P.E. Santa Ana, CA 92705 Tel: 714.835.6886 Fax: 714.667.7147

Shahram Vahdat, P.E. **URS** Corporation 915 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 700 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: 213.996.2200 Fax: 213.996.2374



# MATERIALS SUBMISSION INFORMATION

All graphic materials submitted for use in the ASCE newsletter should have all fonts outlined, and links included; EPS or PDF files preferred. Other formats are Adobe InDesign or Adobe Illustrator (any version); additional acceptable file formats are JPEG or TIFF files (minimum 300 dpi). Images embedded in Microsoft Word documents should be sent separately, at a minimum resolution of 300 dpi at the is December 1, 2013; copy deadline for the display size desired. Collected files, including links and fonts, should be compressed and e-mailed, February 2014 issue is January 1, 2014 or sent on CD or Zip disk (provide return address). Business cards can be submitted electronically as well, or send clean, crisp, B&W laser print, unfolded. This publication's size is 8½" × 11".

#### Reminder:

Copy deadline for the January 2014 issue

.....

ARTICLE

# ASCE Sends Condolences to Those Affected by Tragic Typhoon in the Philippines



One of the strongest hurricanes on record, Super Typhoon Haiyan, made landfall in the Philippines on November 8, 2013 at the peak of the storm's power, causing devastating loss of life and widespread destruction of homes and infrastructure.

On behalf of Society members, ASCE President Randall "Randy" Over, P.E., F.ASCE, and Executive Director Patrick Natale, P.E., F.ASCE, FASAE, CAE, sent messages of condolence to the more than 200 members of the ASCE Philippine Section. They also contacted the leaders of the Section and of the Philippine Institute of Civil Engineers, with whom ASCE maintains an Agreement of Cooperation, to express condolences and offer assistance.

In a November 15 reply, former ASCE International and Region 10 Director Potenciano Leoncio, Jr., P.E., M.ASCE, wrote that he had not yet heard reports of Section members directly affected by the disaster.

Current Philippine Section President Ernesto de Castro, Ph.D., M.ASCE, shared with President Over the Section's response to the disaster, "We at ASCE Philippines have started a modest donation effort from our local members for the benefit of the typhoon victims."

"We will be coordinating with the Philippine Institute of Civil Engineers to effectively channel our contributions to the rehabilitation efforts in monetary terms and technical assistance", de Castro wrote.

ASCE has encouraged members who wish to contribute to relief to make donations to the relief fund established by the American Red Cross, our longtime partner.

"The outpouring of assistance, help and more from the USA and other countries really makes a difference," wrote ASCE Philippines Section member Noel Bersabe, P.E., F.ASCE.

Over said he was "overwhelmed by the response to my message from ASCE members in the Philippines."

"I received replies from nearly 20% of the members that I contacted," Over said. "I think that's a heartening testament that, in spite of the magnitude of the destruction, the Filipino people are spirited and resilient and will recover from this disaster.



Creating value by delivering innovative and sustainable solutions for infrastructure and the environment.

# 2014 PE Review Course

January 4 - April 5, 2014 (13 Saturdays, no class on February 15) Centrally located in Irvine

RBF Consulting, a company of Michael Baker Corporation, presents our comprehensive Professional Engineering License Review Course to prepare applicants for the 2014 Civil Engineering License Exam. The course fee of \$1800 includes textbooks, practical exercises and 100 hours of instruction, including Seismic and Survey, taught by experienced engineering and surveying professionals. Participants can earn 10 CEUs by completing the course. Seismic and Survey can be registered for separately.

Visit our website www.rbf.com/Outreach/PE\_Review.asp or contact Lori Schnaider at lschnaider@rbf.com or (949) 330-4138 for more information or to register for the course.

www.rbf.com • www.mbakercorp.com • 800.479.3808

PAID **PERMIT NO. 1441** SANTA ANA, CA

NON-PROFIT **U.S. POSTAGE** 

POSTMASTER: THIS CONTAINS TIME-SENSITIVE MATERIALS. PLEASE DELIVER PROMPTLY.

This newsletter is printed on Forest Stewardship Council

(FSC)-certified paper using

Please contact ASCE Membership at 1-800-548-ASCE for any address changes.

# **N**EWSLETTER INFORMATION

# Publisher

ASCE LA Section Gayle Stewart

1405 Warner Ave., Suite B

Tustin, CA 92780 Phone (714) 258-8306 (714) 258-8391

E-mail: GStewart@associationplanet.com

### Editor

Dr. Cris B. Liban, P.E.

**LACMTA** 

One Gateway Plaza Mail Stop 99-17-2 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Phone (213) 922-2471 (213) 922-6875 FAX E-mail: cliban@alumni.ucla.edu

Typesetting, Layout & Printing: Apollo Printing & Graphics



Please send all copy to the Editor by the first of the month preceding publication.

# Identification Statement

ASČE Newsletter, (ISSN 0273-6233) is published monthly by ASCE, Los Angeles Section, 1405 Warner Ave. Tustin, California 92780. Subscription price included in Section dues of \$45.

# Circulation

Circulated monthly (except for a joint July/August issue) to the 5,000 subscribing members of the Los Angeles Section, ASCE.

# **NEW** Advertising Rates

**EMPLOYMENT ADS** \$100/column inch DISPLAY ADS 1/8 page \$200 1/4 page \$300 1/2 page \$465

1 page \$740

PROFESSIONAL DIRECTORY

\$450 per business card for a full year (Additional fees may be applied for typesetting. Please call for information.)

Position Wanted Ads

No cost to L.A. Section members.

For more advertising and billing information, please contact Gayle Stewart at (714) 258-8306 or gstewart@associationplanet.com

National ASCE (800) 548-2723 (ASCE) Access National ASCE at: www.asce.org L.A. Section web site at: www.ascelasection.org